CONFERENCE OVERVIEW – QUESTIONNAIRE

Please answer the following questions by marking the scale according to your experience with this session:

1. What position best describes you:
   □ (a) Faculty □ (d) NSF Staff
   □ (b) Administrator □ (e) Other, please specify: __________________________
   □ (c) Student

2. What level would you use to describe your knowledge of NSF grant processes:
   □ (a) Beginner □ (b) Intermediate □ (c) Advanced

3. The duration of the conference was:
   □ (a) Too Short □ (b) Just Right □ (c) Too Long □ (d) No Opinion

4. Networking time with colleagues was:
   □ (a) Too Short □ (b) Just Right □ (c) Too Long □ (d) No Answer

5. How would you rate the organization of the conference?
   □ (a) Excellent □ (b) Good □ (c) Fair □ (d) Poor

6. How would you rate the overall conference center?
   □ (a) Excellent □ (b) Good □ (c) Fair □ (d) Poor

7. If you stayed at the conference hotel, how would you evaluate the hotel?
   □ (a) Excellent □ (b) Good □ (c) Fair □ (d) Poor □ (e) Did not stay at the conference hotel

8. The overall conference:
   □ (a) Exceeded my expectations □ (c) Almost met my expectations
   □ (b) Met my expectations □ (d) Failed to meet my expectations

9. If you answered either c. or d. in the question above, what could NSF do to help meet your expectations?
   ____________________________________________________________
   ____________________________________________________________

10. Based on this experience, would you recommend this conference to a colleague?
    □ (a) Yes □ (b) No □ (c) Maybe

11. Are there additional topics that you would like to see added to the agenda?
    ____________________________________________________________
    ____________________________________________________________

12. What was the conference highlight for you?
    ____________________________________________________________
    ____________________________________________________________

13. Do you see ways that the conference could be improved? If yes, please let us know how we can improve.
    ____________________________________________________________
    ____________________________________________________________
14. The method by which conference materials were distributed (i.e., website and flash drive) was useful/convenient:
   (a) Yes   (b) No
   (c) Other, please explain: __________________________________________

15. The number of presentations was:
   (a) Too Few   (b) Just Right   (c) Too Many   (d) No Answer

16. Please evaluate the following plenary session presentations based on new knowledge gained, effectiveness of the presenter(s) and effectiveness of the presentation materials. Please provide any additional comments that will help us to improve these sessions.

   (a) Excellent   (b) Good   (c) Fair   (d) Poor

   - Introduction & NSF Overview
   - Proposal Preparation
   - Merit Review Process
   - Award Management
   - New and Revised NSF Policies & Procedures
   - NSF Office of the Inspector General
   - NSF Office of International Science & Engineering
   - Crosscutting & Special Interest Programs

Additional comments can be directed to outreach@nsf.gov