



**EVALUATION**  
**The National Science Foundation**  
**Grants Conference**  
**March 11-12, 2013**

Howard University  
 Washington, D.C.

**CONFERENCE OVERVIEW – QUESTIONNAIRE**

Please answer the following questions by marking the scale according to your experience with this session:

1. What position best describes you:
 

<input type="checkbox"/> (a) Faculty	<input type="checkbox"/> (d) NSF Staff
<input type="checkbox"/> (b) Administrator	<input type="checkbox"/> (e) Other, please specify: _____
<input type="checkbox"/> (c) Student	
  
2. What level would you use to describe your knowledge of NSF grant processes:
 

<input type="checkbox"/> (a) Beginner	<input type="checkbox"/> (b) Intermediate	<input type="checkbox"/> (c) Advanced
---------------------------------------	---	---------------------------------------
  
3. The duration of the conference was:
 

<input type="checkbox"/> (a) Too Short	<input type="checkbox"/> (b) Just Right	<input type="checkbox"/> (c) Too Long	<input type="checkbox"/> (d) No Opinion
--	---	---------------------------------------	---
  
4. Networking time with colleagues was:
 

<input type="checkbox"/> (a) Too Short	<input type="checkbox"/> (b) Just Right	<input type="checkbox"/> (c) Too Long	<input type="checkbox"/> (d) No Answer
--	---	---------------------------------------	--
  
5. How would you rate the organization of the conference?
 

<input type="checkbox"/> (a) Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> (b) Good	<input type="checkbox"/> (c) Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> (d) Poor
--	-----------------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------------------------
  
6. How would you rate the overall conference center?
 

<input type="checkbox"/> (a) Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> (b) Good	<input type="checkbox"/> (c) Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> (d) Poor
--	-----------------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------------------------
  
7. If you stayed at the conference hotel, how would you evaluate the hotel?
 

<input type="checkbox"/> (a) Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> (b) Good	<input type="checkbox"/> (c) Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> (d) Poor
<input type="checkbox"/> (e) Did not stay at the conference hotel			
  
8. The overall conference:
 

<input type="checkbox"/> (a) Exceeded my expectations	<input type="checkbox"/> (c) Almost met my expectations
<input type="checkbox"/> (b) Met my expectations	<input type="checkbox"/> (d) Failed to meet my expectations
  
9. If you answered either c. or d. in the question above, what could NSF do to help meet your expectations?
 

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_
  
10. Based on this experience, would you recommend this conference to a colleague?
 

<input type="checkbox"/> (a) Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> (b) No	<input type="checkbox"/> (c) Maybe
----------------------------------	---------------------------------	------------------------------------
  
11. Are there additional topics that you would like to see added to the agenda?
 

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_
  
12. What was the conference highlight for you?
 

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_
  
13. Do you see ways that the conference could be improved? If yes, please let us know how we can improve.
 

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_



**EVALUATION**  
**The National Science Foundation**  
**Grants Conference**  
**March 11-12, 2013**

Howard University  
 Washington, D.C.

14. The method by which conference materials were distributed (i.e., website and flash drive) was useful/convenient:

(a) Yes                       (b) No

(c) Other, please explain: \_\_\_\_\_

15. The number of presentations was:

(a) Too Few             (b) Just Right             (c) Too Many             (d) No Answer

16. Please evaluate the following plenary session presentations based on new knowledge gained, effectiveness of the presenter(s) and effectiveness of the presentation materials. Please provide any additional comments that will help us to improve these sessions.

	(a) Excellent	(b) Good	(c) Fair	(d) Poor
• Introduction & NSF Overview	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
• Proposal Preparation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
• Merit Review Process	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
• Award Management	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
• New and Revised NSF Policies & Procedures	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
• NSF Office of the Inspector General	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
• NSF Office of International Science & Engineering	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
• Crosscutting & Special Interest Programs	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Additional comments can be directed to [outreach@nsf.gov](mailto:outreach@nsf.gov)