



EVALUATION
The National Science Foundation
Grants Conference
March 11-12, 2013

Howard University
 Washington, D.C.

TUESDAY AM: SESSION QUESTIONS

1. Which breakout session did you attend? (check one)

- Biological Sciences (BIO)
- Education & Human Resources (EHR)
- Engineering (ENG)
- Faculty Administrative Workload Roundtable
- NSF Post Award Monitoring & Compliance
- Research.gov
- Science, Engineering & Education for Sustainability (SEES)
- Social, Behavioral & Economic Sciences (SBE)

2. What was your level of understanding about the subject before the session? (check one)

- None
- Some
- Very knowledgeable

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	No Opinion	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree

- | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 3. The session greatly improved my understanding of the subject. | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. It was evident that the speaker was knowledgeable about the subject. | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. The material was presented in an organized, easily understood manner. | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

6. Do you have any suggestions on how we might improve this session?

Additional comments can be directed to outreach@nsf.gov